

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,437	12/31/2001	Yasuyuki Doi	60188-124	4255
7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Jack Q Lever Jr			NELSON, ALECIA DIANE	
McDermott Will & Emery 600 13th Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3096			2675	
		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/019,437	DOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alecia D. Nelson	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	uly 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-14 and 18-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-21,23,24,26 and 27 is/are rejected to claim(s) 22,25 and 28-30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-	Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,849).

With reference to **claims 18 and 19**, Sasaki et al. teaches a liquid crystal driving circuit (23) for driving a liquid crystal element (22) in which a plurality of source driver circuit devices (1) having an input side pad unit (2) and an output side pad unit (3) arranged on a liquid crystal panel, the liquid crystal driving circuit comprising a reference voltage production circuit (2) capable of producing a plurality of reference

Art Unit: 2675

voltages to drive the plurality of source driver circuit devices (see column 4, lines 3-18), and a reference voltage wire unit capable of receiving the plurality of reference voltages and providing the plurality of reference voltages to the plurality of source driver circuit device (connecting from circuit 25 to the source driver circuits), the reference voltage wire unit including a plurality of in-chip reference voltage wire units (leading from inputside to output-side), each in-chip reference voltage wire unit being configured in each source driver circuit device of the plurality of source driver circuit devices, and including a plurality of in-chip reference voltage wires, each in-chip reference voltage wire connecting each input-side pad to the output side pad unit (see Figure 4), and a plurality of inter-chip reference voltage wire units (10), each inter-chip reference voltage wire unit being configured between any two adjacent source driver circuit devices of the plurality of source driver circuit devices and including a plurality of inter-chip reference voltage wires, each inter-chip reference voltage wire connecting each output side pad of one source driver circuit device of the two adjacent source driver circuit devices to each input-side pad of the other source driver circuit device of the two adjacent source driver circuit devices (see Figure 3).

While Sasaki et al. teaches the usage of the input side pad and the output side pad, there fails to be any disclosure towards the side pads being composed of a plurality of input-side pads or output-side pads. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for such an arrangement to be used in the device as taught by Sasaki et al. thereby providing each of the signal lines (CLK, DATA, CNT) to have separate input side pads as well as output side pads.

Art Unit: 2675

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow for a device similar to that which is taught by Sasaki et al. wherein the input side pads and the output side pads of the source drivers are composed of a plurality of individual side pads for each of the signal lines in order to thereby provide a driver IC arrangement that has increased transmission without increasing the dimensions of the frame region.

3. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA).

With reference to **claim 20**, Sasaki et al. teaches that the driving circuit (1) includes a plurality of in-chip reference voltage wires (10) extending from one end to the other end of the source driver circuit for supplying a plurality of reference voltages different from one another (see Figure 3). Sasaki et al. also teaches that various power voltages are input to the driver (1) via the power input (11) and supplied to circuit components such as the buffer amplifiers (4, 8) and other circuitry and are output to the next driver IC (see column 7, lines 6-15)

The admitted prior art teaches the usage of two branch reference voltage wires (131) branching off from a corresponding in-chip reference voltage wire of the plurality of in-chip reference voltage wires, and a selection circuit (134) for selecting a voltage for driving the liquid crystal element (see Figure 10).

Art Unit: 2675

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow for the usage of the branch reference voltage wires and a selection circuit as disclosed by the admitted prior art in the driver circuits which allow for in-chip wiring in the display device similar to that which is taught by Sasaki in order to thereby reduce the required wiring area for signal transmission in order to produce higher resolution for larger screen sizes without increasing the dimensions of the frame region of the display.

With reference to **claim 21**, Sasaki et al. fails to teach that the semiconductor integrated circuit device further includes a subdivided voltage production circuit wherein the selection circuit selects on of the subdivided voltages.

The admitted prior art teaches the limitations of claim 4, including the subdivided voltage production circuit (132) and the selection circuit (134) (see pages 5-6).

Therefore it would have been obvious to on having ordinary skill in the art to allow the device of Sasaki et al. to include the subdivided voltage production circuit and the selection circuit as taught by admitted prior art in order to generate voltage signals for controlling the brightness of light passing through the liquid crystal element when driving the liquid crystal display panel thereby producing higher resolution for larger screen sizes without increasing the dimensions of the frame region.

Art Unit: 2675

4. Claims 23, 24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. in view of Kawaguchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 670,994) and APA.

With reference to **claim 23**, Sasaki et al. teaches a semiconductor integrated circuit device (1) provided in a liquid crystal module (22) having a liquid crystal element, the semiconductor integrated circuit comprising an input-side pad unit (2) receiving a plurality of reference voltages, output-side pad unit (3) outputting the plurality of reference voltages (see column 4, lines 3-18), a in-chip reference voltage wire unit (leading from input side to output side), and a plurality of buffer amplifiers (4, 8) receiving a reference voltage and thereafter supplying an output voltage (see column 7, lines 6-15).

While teaching the usage of the input and output pad unit there fails to be any disclosure towards the side pads being composed of a plurality of input-side pads or output-side pads. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to allow for such an arrangement to be used in the device as taught by Sasaki et al. thereby providing each of the signal lines (CLK, DATA, CNT) to have separate input side pads as well as output side pads. Further, Sasaki et al. fails to teach that the inchip reference voltage wires directly connect the input side pad unit to the output side pad unit to transmit the plurality of reference voltages, a plurality of branch reference voltage wires branching of from the in-chip reference voltage wire, or a selection circuit for selecting a voltage for driving the liquid crystal element from the output voltages of the buffers.

Art Unit: 2675

Kawaguchi et al. teaches a liquid crystal panel (20) having liquid crystals (21) having flexible wiring boards (4) having drive ICs (5) for driving the liquid crystal panel, wherein the flexible wiring boards (230) containing a connecting line (256) for connecting the input terminal (245) directly to the output terminal (247), while a branch line (257) is formed for connecting the connecting line (256) to its corresponding electrode bump (252) of the IC (229) (see column 29, lines 38-49).

The admitted prior art teaches the usage of two branch reference voltage wires (131) branching off from a corresponding in-chip reference voltage wire, and a selection circuit (134) for selecting a voltage for driving the liquid crystal element (see Figure 10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of the direct connection of the input terminals to the output terminals and the branch wires, as taught by Kawaguchi et al., and the selection circuit as disclosed by the APA in the driver circuits similar to that which is taught by Sasaki et al. which would thereby allow the driver ICs of the liquid crystal module to have the ability to transfer directly to the output so that some signals input from the input terminals can be transferred to another adjacent IC. This prevents degrading the signals as it is being transferred and reduces the required wiring area for signal transmission in order to produce higher resolution for larger screen sizes.

With reference to **claim 24**, Sasaki et al. fails to teach that the semiconductor integrated circuit device further includes a subdivided voltage production circuit wherein the selection circuit selects on of the subdivided voltages.

Art Unit: 2675

The admitted prior art teaches the limitations of claim 4, including the subdivided voltage production circuit (132) and the selection circuit (134) (see pages 5-6).

Therefore it would have been obvious to on having ordinary skill in the art to allow the device of Sasaki et al. to include the subdivided voltage production circuit and the selection circuit as taught by admitted prior art in order to generate voltage signals for controlling the brightness of light passing through the liquid crystal element when driving the liquid crystal display panel thereby producing higher resolution for larger screen sizes without increasing the dimensions of the frame region.

With reference to **claim 26**, Sasaki et al. teaches the input side pad (2) is configured along one side of the integrated circuit and the output side pad (3) is configured along another side of the integrated circuit (see Figure 3).

With reference to **claim 27**, Sasaki et al. fails to teach that the buffer has an offset canceling function capable of reducing a potential difference between the input voltage and the output voltage.

Kawaguchi et al. teaches a chip capacitor containing within the flexible wiring boards (230) for signal adjustment being connected to the connecting lines (256, 253). Thereby any differences in display grade among the ICs of the flexible wiring boards are reduced (see column 29, lines 50-54).

Art Unit: 2675

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 22, 25, and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims18-30* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to the Applicant's concerns about the IDS statements filed

December 31, 2001 and May 7, 2001, the Examiner request that the applicant resend
the list to the IDS statements to alleviate any confusion.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is (703) 305-0143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,437 Page 10

Art Unit: 2675

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adn/ADN September 17, 2004

AR A. AWAD PRIMARY EXAMINER